




WHO Health attempt on world population just the beginning

Fri 2:55 pm +01:00, 17 May 2024

posted by Tapestry

Valerie Borek of Stand for Health Freedom has an excellent, comprehensive slide presentation on the Treaty and IHR amendments

30 minutes



MERYL NASS
MAY 17, 2024

<https://standforhealthfreedom.com/who-update-5-13-24/>

WHO Update 5/13/24

THE VOTES ARE STILL ON!

It's now less than two weeks before the scheduled votes on the World Health Organization's (WHO) pandemic treaty and the proposed changes to the International Health Regulations (IHR).

What's going on and where do these votes stand?

Stand for Health Freedom's Lead Policy Analyst and Associate Director Valerie Borek breaks it all down in a new WHO video update.

In the video you will learn:

The status and legality of the WHO treaty and IHR votes.

How we got here.

What's in the ever-shifting documents.

What states are doing about it.

How state AGs and U.S. senators are stepping up for health freedom.

Take time right now to arm yourself with information. We don't know what will happen with these critical votes. Learn all you can so you can educate your friends and your lawmakers. **The votes on this treaty and the IHR won't be the end of an attempt at a global health security state; they mark just the beginning.**

Valerie Borek of Stand for Health Freedom has an excellent, comprehensive slide presentation on the Treaty and IHR amendments (substack.com)

Is the world waking up?

We still need to wake up the other half

MERYL NASS
MAY 17



READ IN APP ↗

Welcome to The Tap Blog

The blog that's fed by you, the readers. Please send in the news and stories that you think are of interest.

[Submit News](#)

Help Keep the Tap Going

Keeping the Tap going costs. If you appreciate this valuable resource please chip in and help out. Without your support we can't keep the Tap online. Thank you. :-)

Buy Me a Coffee

Donation amount (GBP)

1.00

PayPal

Search The Tap

Search ...

Search

Tap Newsletter

Email Address

[Subscribe](#)

Subscribe and get The latest Tap posts emailed to you daily

UK Events 2024

The Alternative View Conference

Date: 26 May 2024

All-day event

Location: The Leonardo Hotel, Midsummer Boulevard, Milton Keynes, MK9 2HP, UK

[Tickets and Info](#)



Italy's "The Truth" newspaper's headline: **No deal on the global pandemic treaty. Half the world rejects the WHO's yoke.**

"Europe, Africa and North America dispute (albeit for different reasons) the text that would give the UN agency the power to impose restrictions and health policies, including vaccinations, to all countries should another COVID-type crisis occur."



“Don’t ignore the real threat of the WHO pandemic treaty”/ The Telegraph

It won’t force lockdowns onto the public, but it will impose new international law obligations on Britain” [Actually it could force lockdowns too–Nass]

MERYL NASS
MAY 17



READ IN APP ↗

David Frost 16 May 2024 • 6:15pm

<https://web.archive.org/web/20240516172301/https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2024/05/16/dont-ignore-the-real-threat-of-the-who-pandemic-treaty/>



The crunch is coming. Later this month, global health ministers will decide in Geneva whether to endorse the proposed new World Health Organisation (WHO) pandemic treaty.

This treaty actually stems from a British initiative by the then-prime minister Boris Johnson in March 2021. In a joint article with other leaders, he argued that the world needed a more coordinated approach to managing pandemics in future.

Sadly, since then the Government has never set out its actual negotiating objectives. This was a mistake.

When I was leading the Brexit negotiations, despite the chaos of the first wave of Covid, we still made sure that we published, in a public document, clear aims for our talks, because we knew that this would help sustain confidence when difficult moments arrived.



Use Code **TAP10** for a discount when booking.

The Alternative View Conference
Sunday 26th May 2024

Hosted by Gary Fraughen

Come and have a great day out and hear some fascinating and informative presentations. Network, chat, meet the speakers and share knowledge. Let us come together and be around like-minded souls in these times of great change and great challenge.

Speakers and Presentations

Richard Vobes

From Saving Our Heritage to Saving the Planet

Wendy Stacey

Preparing for a New World Consciousness

Ian Simpson

Invisible Sky – How Climate Engineering is Hacking Humanity as Well as Our Weather

Lucy Wyatt

A Journey Through the Duat

Dr Manjir Samanta-Laughton

Space Weather and the Fossil Fuel Fallacy

Johan Oldenkamp

The Giza Star Clock and the Transition of the Ages

Gary Fraughen

The New Ownership of Ourselves in the Great Reset

Tickets & Info

Press and Media passes available – [click here](#)

Submit News to The Tap

Your Name

Your Email

Post Title

Moon Spelt Backwards

Post Content

Upload an Image

Please select your image(s) to upload.

[Choose File](#)

No file chosen

[Submit Post](#)



The failure to do this on the pandemic treaty has unfortunately created suspicion about what the Government is really trying to do and what it is willing to defend – all the more so as the conclusion approaches. That's why the lead minister, Andrew Stephenson MP, was reluctantly forced to the House of Commons on Tuesday.

So are we right to be concerned? Yes – but not always for the reasons you will hear if you follow the debate on the treaty.

Some commentary is too alarmist. There isn't a plot to impose vaccines and compel lockdowns. Indeed, the current draft makes clear that the WHO has no authority to alter domestic laws or "ban or accept travellers, impose vaccination mandates or therapeutic or diagnostic measures, or implement lockdowns". [That is what it says, but the rest of it tells how it can do this—Nass]

That is welcome. But it is also exactly why it is not reassuring when ministers say, as Stephenson did this week, that "this Government will only sign up to measures that respect our national sovereignty". That's not really in doubt. The risk lies elsewhere.

[Oh really? What did 22 Attorneys General of the US write to President Biden last week?

To varying degrees, these measures would threaten national sovereignty, undermine states' authority, and imperil constitutionally guaranteed freedoms. Ultimately, the goal of these instruments isn't to protect public health. It's to cede authority to the WHO – specifically its Director-General – to restrict our citizens' rights to freedom of speech, privacy, movement (especially travel across borders) and informed consent.... —Nass]

It lies in the actual point of the treaty: the creation of a new system of pandemic management under the WHO authority and binding under international law.

The director general of the WHO, Dr Tedros, can declare the existence of a pandemic. Member states take on an obligation to cooperate with the WHO "to the fullest extent possible", to share information and "pandemic-related health products", to establish a supply chain network, and much more – and of course to fund it. This is all new.

Now it is true that agreeing to this in a treaty does not give away British sovereignty. That's because in our constitutional system treaties cannot change our domestic law unless Parliament specifically agrees – as it did on the EU exit treaties. Parliament can always refuse to implement an international legal obligation, and governments can always act contrary to international law.

How easy that is in practice – that is, not easy at all – we have discovered in the past few years. First with the UK Internal Market Bill, then the Northern Ireland Protocol Bill, and now with the Rwanda saga, the Government has either shied away from breaking international law or been blocked from doing so by its own backbenchers.

And that is the problem with this treaty, too. Our sovereignty is not formally affected, but we are taking on an international law obligation to work with the WHO system. When the next pandemic comes, government lawyers, MPs, and commentators will say "you must do what the WHO says, or you are breaking international law".

A robust government can ignore this, but only if it doesn't mind being called a "rogue state". Consider all the opprobrium poured on Sweden during the pandemic. Can we really be confident that our ministers, of any party, would be as tough as they were?

This would matter less if we could be confident of the neutrality and competence of the WHO. In fact we can be confident of no such thing: it has a track record of incompetence, poor decision-making, and politicised conduct, not surprising considering the extent of China's influence. Giving it a blank cheque is highly risky.

That is why we need the Government to show caution. **Andrew Stephenson rightly said in Parliament that "the current text is not acceptable to us. Therefore, unless the current text is changed and refined, we will not be signing up to it."**

That current text is public. So, if the UK signs up anyway, we will be able to see what changed, and the Government can then come to Parliament and explain why it improved it. It must also provide a proper vote in Parliament, a point on which it was very evasive this week.

But no foreseeable alterations will change the fact that we are taking on an open-ended international law obligation to work with the WHO. If it signs up, the Government must explain to Parliament how it intends to manage this. That won't be straightforward – and in any case it is in all likelihood leaving these arrangements to a Labour administration, which will have very different concerns.

So it's surely best to be cautious. This treaty has been rushed. Any text will be cobbled together and not properly thought through. Nothing requires us to sign it and nothing will happen if we don't. Pause for thought, argue for a wider delay, think it through properly. And don't sign till it's right.

JOIN OUR NEWSLETTER

Get the latest Tap posts emailed to you daily

Subscribe Now

Links and Ads

Ecommerce-Help

Experts in Ecommerce and Shopping Carts



www.ecommerce-help.co.uk

Alternative View Media

The group who run The Tap and The Alternative View Conference. Please check them out.



www.alternativeview.co.uk

Recent Comments

ian on Preferred Pronouns Are Now Mandatory

ian on 90% of US population suddenly has mysterious new heart syndrome that sounds like SPIKE PROTEIN organ damage

ian on 90% of US population suddenly has mysterious new heart syndrome that sounds like SPIKE PROTEIN organ damage

danceaway on 90% of US population suddenly has mysterious new heart syndrome that sounds like SPIKE PROTEIN organ damage

Tapestry on 90% of US population suddenly has mysterious new heart syndrome that sounds like SPIKE PROTEIN organ damage

ATOM FEED



LEAVE A REPLY

You must be logged in to post a comment.

This site is intended as an informational guide. The remedies approaches and techniques described herein are meant to supplement, and not be a substitute for, professional, medical care or treatments. Any information is for entertainment purposes only. Any previous articles which prefix the **8th of February 2023** have no involvement in new upload to this site. Any Copy right infringements are not intended and any such should be made aware to the site for immediate withdraw. Articles posted here are for your consideration at your discretion. No purported facts have been verified. Articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the poster nor the site owner.

Blog editor - editor[at]tapnewswire.com

